



1120 Connecticut Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20036

1-800-BANKERS  
[www.aba.com](http://www.aba.com)

*World-Class Solutions,  
Leadership & Advocacy  
Since 1875*

**Nessa E. Feddis**  
Senior Federal Counsel  
Regulatory and Trust Affairs

Tel: (202)663-5433  
Fax: (202)828-5052  
[nfeddis@aba.com](mailto:nfeddis@aba.com)

***By electronic delivery***

29 June 2007

Ms. Jennifer J. Johnson,  
Secretary  
Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System  
20<sup>th</sup> Street and Constitution Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20551

***Truth in Savings Act  
Regulation DD  
Docket No. R-1285  
72 Federal Register 21155, 30 April 2007***

The American Bankers Association (“ABA”) is pleased to submit our comments to the Federal Reserve Board’s (“Board”) request for comment on its proposed changes to Regulation DD, which implements the Truth in Savings Act (“TISA”). The Board is proposing to amend Regulation DD to withdraw portions of the interim final rules for the electronic delivery of disclosures issued 30 March 2001. In addition, the Board is proposing to amend Regulation DD to address the electronic delivery of communications and disclosures required under the TISA and Regulation DD.

ABA supports the Board’s proposal to withdraw portions of the interim final rules for electronic delivery of disclosures. We agree with the Board that removing the interim rules will reduce confusion about the status of the provisions and simplify and make more flexible the regulation. In addition, we agree that depository institutions should be permitted to provide application and advertising materials without regard to the consent provisions of the Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act (“the E-Sign Act”) and other provisions of that Act. Finally, we recommend that the Board clarify that disclosures would be in compliance with the regulation if the disclosures would meet the regulation’s requirements when viewed on a home personal computer.

The American Bankers Association, on behalf of the more than two million men and women who work in the nation's banks, brings together all categories of banking institutions to best represent the interests of this rapidly changing industry. Its membership--which includes community, regional and money center banks and holding companies, as well as

savings associations, trust companies and savings banks--makes ABA the largest banking trade association in the country.

### ***Background and Proposal.***

The Board in 2001 published interim rules permitting the electronic delivery of disclosures under Regulation DD and proposals under Regulation B (Equal Credit Opportunity), E (Electronic Fund Transfer Act), M (Consumer Leasing), and Z (Truth in Lending). Under the interim rules, creditors, depository institutions, and other persons generally were required to obtain consumers' affirmative consent to provide disclosures electronically, consistent with the requirements of the E-Sign Act. In addition, the interim final rules established uniform requirements for the timing and delivery of electronic disclosures.

Based on comments to the interim final rules, in August 2001, the Board lifted the previously established 1 October 2001 mandatory compliance date for all of the interim final rules. Thus, institutions are not required to comply with the interim final rules on electronic disclosures.

The Board is now proposing to amend Regulation DD and the official staff commentary by:

1. withdrawing portions of the 2001 interim final rule on electronic disclosures that restate or cross-reference provisions of the E-Sign Act and are thus unnecessary;
2. withdrawing other portions of the interim final rule that may impose undue burdens on electronic banking and commerce and may be unnecessary for consumer protection; and
3. retaining the substance of certain provisions of the interim final rule that provide regulatory relief or guidance regarding electronic disclosures.

### ***Comments.***

#### ***Section 230.3 General disclosure requirements***

##### ***(a) Form***

Under the proposal, disclosures required by Sections 230.4(a)(2) (disclosures provided upon request) and 230.8 (advertising) may be provided in electronic form without regard to the consumer consent or other provisions of the E-Sign Act. We agree with the Board that requiring depository institutions to obtain consumers' consent in order to provide advertisements and account disclosures is unnecessary and inappropriate. A requirement to obtain the consumers' consent only slows consumers' shopping process, discouraging them from researching and

comparing various deposit account options.

ABA also suggests that the commentary to this section make clear that depository institutions would be in compliance with the regulation if the disclosures would comply when viewed on a home personal computer. ABA is concerned about how disclosures may be *received* by consumers. Disclosures, such as account opening disclosures and periodic statement disclosures, may not meet some of the requirements of the regulation when viewed on the small screen of a mobile phone or other hand-held device. For example, the proposal provides that depository institutions cannot require the consumer to scroll to another part of the page to view the APY if a simple interest rate is stated. However, with a small screen, in these cases, consumers may have to scroll in order to view the APY, even though it is in proper proximity when viewed on the screen of a home personal computer. Depository institutions cannot control how consumers may choose to access the depository institution's web site or communications. While the Supplementary Information to Section 230.18 related to advertising notes, "A consumer accesses an advertisement in electronic form when, for example, the consumer views the advertisement on his or her home computer," the language is not included in the proposed regulation or commentary. Accordingly, we recommend that the Board clarify in the commentary, "Depository institutions meet the requirements of the regulation if the disclosures comply with the regulation when displayed on a home personal computer."

***Section 230.10 Electronic communication.***

***(d) Address or location to receive electronic communication.***

***(e) Redelivery.***

Under the interim final rule, disclosures could be sent to an e-mail address designated by the consumer, or could be made available at another location, such as an Internet web site. If the disclosures were not sent by e-mail, depository institutions would have to provide a notice to consumers alerting them to the availability of the disclosures. Disclosures posted on a web site would have to be available for at least 90 days to allow consumers adequate time to access and retain the information. Depository institutions also would be required to make a good faith attempt to redeliver electronic disclosures that were returned undelivered, using the address information available in their files. The Board is proposing to delete this section.

We agree. For the reasons explained in our comment letter to the interim final rule dated 5 June 2001, there are many reasons consumers may choose not to have statements and other information delivered to an e-mail address. For example, for privacy or professional reasons, they might not want financial information sent to a work e-mail address or a shared e-mail address. Or they may simply wish to manage e-mail volume and content. Moreover, as the Board points out, concerns about

fraud and identity theft facilitated through phishing argue against requiring e-mail statements or statement alerts and indeed illustrate the need for continued flexibility in order to respond to changing environments and challenges. Finally, as the Board notes, as electronic banking has evolved, depository institutions and their customers have responded and adapted in a manner that appears to work for both consumers and financial institutions.

***Conclusion.***

ABA appreciates the opportunity to submit our comments to the proposed revisions to Regulation DD related to the electronic delivery of disclosures. We support the proposed withdrawal of the interim final rule and other proposed clarifications. To facilitate compliance, we recommend that the Board clarify in the commentary that disclosures would be in compliance with the regulation if they would meet the requirements of the regulation when viewed on a home personal computer. Please feel free to contact us for additional information.

Sincerely,

Nessa Eileen Feddis