

ARTICLE

JULY 2020

Author

Alex Cannon | CPA
Associate Director, Enterprise Risk
Solutions

Ian McCready
Associate Director, Enterprise Risk
Solutions

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Sohini Chowdhury,
Adam Kamins, Mehna Raissi, and Ed Young for
their help.

Contact Us

Americas
+1.212.553.1658
clientservices@moodys.com

Europe
+44.20.7772.5454
clientservices.emea@moodys.com

Asia (Excluding Japan)
+85 2 2916 1121
clientservices.asia@moodys.com

Japan
+81 3 5408 4100
clientservices.japan@moodys.com

COVID-19 Impact: What Banks Need to Know Now About CECL Adjustments

As the COVID-19 pandemic continues to alter the economic landscape, banks are working harder than ever alongside their customers. Credit teams have worked around the clock on both the distribution of federal relief funding and massive loan modification programs. This effort has fallen most heavily on community banks, as the shutdown has been felt most keenly by their small business customers.

A major challenge financial institutions of all sizes are now facing is in understanding how to appropriately reflect the current economic changes in their allowance. A company's loss history may be inadequate, with no events matching the speed and severity of the pandemic shutdown. Modern risk models, built on recent economic shocks, don't typically rely on data from the last comparable crisis, which was the 1918 influenza pandemic. Fortunately, portfolio adjustments that account for such rare and extreme circumstances can be used to address these shortcomings of modern risk models.

A well-considered adjustment function can be initially overlain on risk models to enhance an institution's established allowance process. Once the models have incorporated actual data from the lockdown, the overlay adjustment can be removed. The industry will then see new stress test scenarios with specific pandemic or lockdown parameters as the crisis recedes.

Accounting for the Macroeconomic Variables

The Current Expected Credit Loss (CECL) reserves filed by public banks are yet another measure of the severity of the economic impact of the pandemic. These results reflect the often-grim forecasts for the macroeconomic variables that affect CECL risk models. Models that have previously used the housing crisis data as their worst-case loss scenario must now grapple with economic conditions that are significantly worse. Public banks may need to modify their models' forecast mix of upturn and downturn scenarios to reflect the current downturn.

For lenders still using the incurred loss standard, the established allowance process may not incorporate quantitative macroeconomic impacts. This can be a significant concern, as current commentary focuses on the larger public institutions and their CECL forecasting choices. Luckily, there are ways to bring these macroeconomic variables into the allowance process without relying on models.

One method is to examine the historical relationship between unemployment and net-charge-offs at all levels. Unemployment is the easy first choice for scrutiny, since it affects credit quality across asset classes. Approaches can be refined by blending other macroeconomic variables such as the Baa spread, commercial real estate vacancy rates, oil prices, or a house price index. Such relational adjustments may not be as robust as rigorous, regression-based analyses but they can be a step forward for institutions looking to become more quantitative in their allowance process.

Location Matters

Institutions must also recognize the regional impacts of the crisis. The variance among metro regions is large and can become larger still when the lending footprint is expanded into rural areas. However, once understood, local effects can be overlain on national-level metrics to improve the allowance process.

One possibility is to build a geographical z-score that differentiates the impact by US state and metropolitan area, based on a weighted blend of observed local COVID-19 statistics. This sort of framework would allow institutions to create a more granular geographic overlay, providing adjustment at the loan level depending on available data.

Federal Relief Program Participation

The crisis has prompted banks to increase their participation in federal relief programs. The Paycheck Protection Program, Economic Injury Disaster Loan, and Main Street Lending Program now target customers rather than the lending institutions themselves, and leverage local lending teams to distribute the funds.

The goal for community banks and other institutions is to get these funds to their customers to help reduce or eliminate their risk of default. Overlays can take success here into account, while also refining these stimulus adjustments to differ on a per-industry basis. A manufacturer may be able to idle with paychecks covered for some time; restaurants may find it much more difficult to reopen, even after relief funding.

Proactivity will be the key to successfully [adjusting an allowance framework](#) for this crisis. In the most successful institutions, customer outreach programs have contacted 90% to 100% of their commercial portfolio. These institutions gain a more direct understanding of credit risk for their contacted borrowers, while reaping a bounty in local economic information that can ground assumptions for the rest of their portfolio. Without such outreach, institutions will be left to wonder about those customers that never came in to apply for relief.

Addressing these points should begin now. Institutions should document the assumptions and quantitative aspects of any [adjustment framework](#). Examiners will also want to see proof of deliberation by senior management, as well as approvals at all levels. While current events are forcing institutions to step outside of normal allowance processes, management always needs to demonstrate both skepticism and thoroughness.

Read more about [Adjusting Your Allowance Framework for COVID-19 and Related Stimulus Programs](#).

Ian McCready and Alex Cannon are risk management specialists in the banking sector, with corporate finance backgrounds focusing on valuations, bank acquisitions, and turnarounds. They currently serve as subject matter experts for the CECL accounting standard, advising banks and credit unions on pandemic responses and enterprise risk solutions for Moody's Analytics. Moody's Analytics provides financial intelligence and analytical tools to help business leaders make better, faster decisions.

© 2020 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND/OR ITS CREDIT RATINGS AFFILIATES ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND MATERIALS, PRODUCTS, SERVICES AND INFORMATION PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S (COLLECTIVELY, "PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE SUCH CURRENT OPINIONS. MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT OR IMPAIRMENT. SEE MOODY'S RATING SYMBOLS AND DEFINITIONS PUBLICATION FOR INFORMATION ON THE TYPES OF CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS ADDRESSED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE CREDIT RATINGS. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS, NON-CREDIT ASSESSMENTS ("ASSESSMENTS"), AND OTHER OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. AND/OR ITS AFFILIATES. MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS DO NOT COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS AND OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLISHES ITS PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS, AND PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS OR PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY ANY PERSON AS A BENCHMARK AS THAT TERM IS DEFINED FOR REGULATORY PURPOSES AND MUST NOT BE USED IN ANY WAY THAT COULD RESULT IN THEM BEING CONSIDERED A BENCHMARK.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing its Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY CREDIT RATING, ASSESSMENT, OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any credit rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for credit ratings opinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,000 to approximately \$2,700,000. MCO and Moody's investors Service also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of Moody's Investors Service credit ratings and credit rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold credit ratings from Moody's Investors Service and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moody.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657 AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any credit rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for credit ratings opinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY125,000 to approximately JPY250,000,000.

MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.