

Compliance Confidence: Building Systems That Prevent Mistakes

Why Operational Compliance Has Become More Challenging

Operational compliance in retirement plans continues to grow more complex. Increased regulatory attention, evolving plan designs, and greater reliance on interconnected service providers have reduced the margin for error. For plan sponsors, understanding how compliance issues arise—and how they can be mitigated—is an important part of fulfilling fiduciary responsibilities and supporting participants.

From the perspective of a 3(16) plan administrator, most operational failures are not the result of gross negligence. More often, issues stem from unclear processes, undocumented responsibilities, or insufficient oversight. In this context, compliance risk is less about isolated mistakes and more about whether a plan's administrative framework is designed to prevent errors from occurring in the first place.

Looking Beyond Common Errors to Understand Real Risk

Plan sponsors are typically familiar with commonly cited operational issues such as late deferral deposits, missed notices, or eligibility errors. While these items are frequently addressed in regulatory guidance, they are often indicators of deeper structural issues. Weak or inconsistent processes, assumptions about responsibility, and limited monitoring are frequently the underlying causes of these failures.

Many risks can remain hidden because they occur within day-to-day plan administration. Examples include plan document provisions that are not operationalized correctly, payroll and census data inconsistencies, plan amendments implemented unevenly, or fiduciary decisions that are not adequately documented. Over time, these issues can increase exposure and make compliance failures more likely.

The Limitations of a Reactive Compliance Approach

When compliance issues are discovered only after they occur, the impact can extend well beyond the cost of correction. Reactive compliance may involve formal correction programs, participant remediation, and heightened regulatory scrutiny. It can also create confusion for participants and undermine plan sponsor confidence.

Because fiduciary responsibility is tied to plan outcomes rather than intent, errors may carry implications regardless of where they originate. This reinforces the importance of proactive prevention as a core compliance strategy.

Designing Systems That Reduce the Likelihood of Errors

A preventative approach to compliance emphasizes system design rather than post-event detection. From a 3(16) fiduciary perspective, effective systems rely on standardized workflows, clearly defined responsibilities, and built-in validation points. These elements help reduce reliance on individual judgment and support consistency as plan operations evolve.

One way to evaluate administrative processes is to ask three foundational questions: who is responsible for a given task under ERISA, what event or data triggers the action, and how accuracy is verified. When these questions are clearly understood, answered and documented, the likelihood of errors occurring—or going undetected—is significantly reduced.

Internal controls are central to this approach. Properly designed controls help prevent errors and allow issues to be identified quickly when they do occur. Key areas include alignment between plan documents and daily administration, contribution and remittance oversight, general administrative practices, and reporting and disclosure requirements. In this context, documentation functions as an operational safeguard rather than a purely administrative task.

Balancing Technology, Oversight, and Participant Experience

Technology can enhance compliance efforts by improving consistency, providing alerts, and creating audit trails. However, automated systems do not replace fiduciary responsibility. Without ongoing oversight and review, technology can often create a false sense of security. Effective compliance programs balance automation with human review and documented decision-making.

Alignment among recordkeepers, administrators, payroll providers, investment professionals, and plan sponsors is also essential. Compliance gaps often arise at transition points where responsibilities are assumed but not clearly defined. Establishing documented roles, communication protocols, and escalation procedures can help reduce these risks.

Participant experience can often serve as an indicator of a plan's operational effectiveness. Delays, confusion, or inconsistent communication often reflect underlying administrative challenges. When compliance systems function effectively, participants are generally unaware of the processes supporting their plan, resulting in a smoother and more consistent experience.

Key Considerations for Plan Sponsors

For plan sponsors, strengthening compliance outcomes begins with understanding how operational systems function and where vulnerabilities may exist. Reviewing administrative handoffs, identifying undocumented assumptions, and encouraging clear accountability across service providers can help reduce risk and support more consistent plan operations.

In an increasingly complex regulatory environment, confidence is built not solely through efficient correction of errors, but through the implementation of systems intentionally designed to help prevent them.

As plan administration and regulatory expectations continue to evolve, plan sponsors are increasingly focused on how fiduciary duties are carried out in practice. Evaluating whether current structures and processes effectively support compliance can help plan sponsors manage complexity, reduce operational strain, and deliver more consistent results for their organization's plan and its participants.

Continue the Conversation

Want to learn more about building stronger governance and administrative processes? Watch our recent webinar, **The Fiduciary Playbook: A Board-Level Guide to Retirement Plan Responsibilities**, where we discussed practical strategies for strengthening oversight, reducing operational risk, and building compliance systems designed to help prevent mistakes.

[Watch the webinar on demand here.](#)

For additional questions or resources, contact John Schafer, National Leader, Financial Institutions Channel at john.schafer@pentegra.com or 317-506-6875.